

**Land Stewardship
Proposal
for
Lake Britton Planning Unit
California State Parks**



PART 1 - ORGANIZATIONAL INFORMATION

Contact Information

a) A primary proposal contact:

Heidi Horvitz, Sector Superintendent
PO Box 2430
Shasta, CA 96087
hhorvitz@parks.ca.gov
(530) 225-2065
(530) 356-6331 (mobile)
(530) 243-8035 (fax)

b) A secondary proposal contact:

Emerson "Skip" Mills, Associate Landscape Architect
PO Box 942896
Sacramento, CA 94296-0001
smill@parks.ca.gov
(916) 445-9088

c) Executive Director:

Marilyn Linkem, District Superintendent
Northern Buttes District
400 Glen Dr.
Oroville, CA 95966
(530) 538-2205
(530) 370-8180 (mobile)
(530) 538-2244 (fax)

Executive Summary

2. Please provide a two-page Executive Summary that concisely describes: (1) your organization's experience, interests, and objectives in owning and managing the specific watershed lands you are seeking to receive in fee title; and (2) your organization's financial and organizational capacity to achieve these interests and objectives. (two pages or less)

California State Parks seeks the acquisition of land in the Lake Britton Unit bordering McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial State Park (MBFMSP). MBFMSP was established in the early 1920's by the state "purchase" of 120 acres of land from the McArthur family for \$1 to protect Burney Falls. At that time, no state parks department was in existence and the property went to the Division of Forestry. The McArthur family worked with the state to have the first custodian sent in 1925 to manage the property as a public facility. The area was named McArthur Memorial Park. In 1926, Pacific Gas and Electric (PG&E) declared a 160 acre parcel surplus just to the north of the park and deeded it to the Division of Forestry, making McArthur Memorial Park a 320-acre park.

The park presently consists of 611.09 acres of land under direct ownership, 74 acres under a use agreement with the United States Forest Service and 225 acres leased from PG&E.

The park's feature, Burney Falls, is the primary draw for day use to the park. MBFMSP has 137 campsites (104 family campsites, 24 alternative camping cabins and 9 environmental sites at the Headwaters Camp). Yearly revenue averages over \$500,000. A concession agreement is in place with Recreation Resource Management (RRM) who operates the park store, cabins and marina. Annual visitation is over 200,000.

MBFMSP completed a General Plan in June 1997. In addition, there is a Park Core Area Development Plan (1999) and an Interpretive Prospectus (1997). All documents are available at our website: www.parks.ca.gov. It is stated on page 99 of the General Plan: "If the leased land [from PG&E] were ever offered for sale at some time in the future, the department should endeavor to maintain the management and operation of this land and the facilities on the lake through possible acquisition or other means." Also included in the General Plan are elements titled: natural and cultural history, land use and facilities, interpretation, concessions, operations and environmental impact.

California State Parks seeks the parcels in the Lake Britton Planning Unit adjacent to MBFMSP. The parcels would be managed in the same manner stated in the MBFMSP General Plan. An amendment of the General Plan would take place as soon as possible to include the acquisitions. California State Parks must be in compliance with the California Environmental Quality Act which requires any changes to the General Plan to go through rigorous review and oversight.

The acquired land will be managed by the park staff for day to day operations. At this time, the organization chart allows for 3 State Park Peace Officers (Rangers), 1 State Park Peace Officer Supervisor, 2 permanent maintenance workers, 10 seasonal employees and more than 25 volunteers. Local management is by a State Park Superintendent and Park Maintenance Chief both located in the town of Shasta. Management Staff for the Sector is located at the Northern Buttes District Office in Oroville. The district office also employs support specialists whose expertise is used in project specific situations. An Environmental Scientist, Civil Engineer, Regional Interpretive Specialist, Archeologist, Museum Curator, Heavy Equipment Operator and support staff for all positions are utilized to plan and implement projects. Larger projects require assistance from the Northern Service Center located in Sacramento.

Recent projects at the park include the building of a new park store, installation of 24 rental cabins, a new marina (with Department of Boating and Waterways and RRM), upgrading of the Burney Creek and Headwaters Trail (to meet American with Disabilities Standards), upgrading of the Burney Falls Loop Trail (main trail down to the falls and a 1900 foot section on the west side of the creek) and the opening of the new Visitor Center. An application for Proposition 84 money to provide interpretive exhibits was submitted on July 1, 2010 with award recipients to be named in December, 2010. Facilities at the park are kept in excellent working condition by dedicated staff and volunteers.

California State Parks contains 278 units that were created by the State Park and Recreation Commission or (in very rare instances) by the California Legislature. The nine classifications are described and characterized in the California Resources Code, Sections 5019.50 et seq. Information can be found in the Planning Milestones published annually by California State Parks. Statistical information for MBFMSP can be found in the State Park System Statistical Report (FY 2007/2008, page 26 and 27). Each year the Department publishes the document which contains data that collectively describe and measure the California State Park System. This annual report provides information on the system as it existed and was operated during that 12-month period.

For the fiscal year 2007/2008, California State Parks owned and operated 1.7 million acres of land including: 1,634 miles of waterfront, 13,542 campsites, 321 group campsites, and 6,811 individual picnic sites. There was a total of 4,249 miles of non-motorized trails. The department's annual visitor attendance was 72,189,693 with 7,777,661 camping nights. The annual revenue from user fees was \$75,806,403 with a concession total of over \$12 million. The operating costs were \$199,215,810. The revenue makes up a total of 44.4% of the field operating costs. 1,815 permanent positions were filled in 2007/2008 with just under 800 authorized temporary positions.

Organizational Information

3. Indicate which category applies to your organization as a potential fee title recipient:

- A federal, state or local governmental entity;

4. Please attach documentation of your organization's tax exempt status.

CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION
ARTICLE 13 TAXATION

SEC. 3. The following are exempt from property taxation:

- (a) Property owned by the State.
- (b) Property owned by a local government, except as otherwise provided in Section 11(a).
- (c) Bonds issued by the State or a local government in the State.
- (d) Property used for libraries and museums that are free and open to the public and property used exclusively for public schools, community colleges, state colleges, and state universities.
- (e) Buildings, land, equipment, and securities used exclusively for educational purposes by a nonprofit institution of higher education.
- (f) Buildings, land on which they are situated, and equipment used exclusively for religious worship.

(g) Property used or held exclusively for the permanent deposit of human dead or for the care and maintenance of the property or the dead, except when used or held for profit. This property is also exempt from special assessment.

(h) Growing crops.

(i) Fruit and nut trees until 4 years after the season in which they were planted in orchard form and grape vines until 3 years after the season in which they were planted in vineyard form.

(j) Immature forest trees planted on lands not previously bearing merchantable timber or planted or of natural growth on lands from which the merchantable original growth timber stand to the extent of 70 percent of all trees over 16 inches in diameter has been removed. Forest trees or timber shall be considered mature at such time after 40 years from the time of planting or removal of the original timber when so declared by a majority vote of a board consisting of a representative from the State Board of Forestry, a representative from the State Board of Equalization, and the assessor of the county in which the trees are located.

The Legislature may supersede the foregoing provisions with an alternative system or systems of taxing or exempting forest trees or timber, including a taxation system not based on property valuation. Any alternative system or systems shall provide for exemption of unharvested immature trees, shall encourage the continued use of timberlands for the production of trees for timber products, and shall provide for restricting the use of timberland to the production of timber products and compatible uses with provisions for taxation of timberland based on the restrictions. Nothing in this paragraph shall be construed to exclude timberland from the provisions of Section 8 of this article.

(k) \$7,000 of the full value of a dwelling, as defined by the Legislature, when occupied by an owner as his principal residence, unless the dwelling is receiving another real property exemption. The Legislature may increase this exemption and may deny it if the owner received state or local aid to pay taxes either in whole or in part, and either directly or indirectly, on the dwelling.

No increase in this exemption above the amount of \$7,000 shall be effective for any fiscal year unless the Legislature increases the rate of state taxes in an amount sufficient to provide the subventions required by Section 25.

If the Legislature increases the homeowners' property tax exemption, it shall provide increases in benefits to qualified renters, as defined by law, comparable to the average increase in benefits to homeowners, as calculated by the Legislature.

(l) Vessels of more than 50 tons burden in this State and engaged in the transportation of freight or passengers.

(m) Household furnishings and personal effects not held or used in connection with a trade, profession, or business.

(n) Any debt secured by land.

(o) Property in the amount of \$1,000 of a claimant who--

(1) is serving in or has served in and has been discharged under honorable conditions from service in the United States Army, Navy, Air Force, Marine Corps, Coast Guard, or Revenue Marine (Revenue Cutter) Service; and--

(2) served either

(i) in time of war, or

(ii) in time of peace in a campaign or expedition for which a medal has been issued by Congress, or

(iii) in time of peace and because of a service-connected disability was released from active duty; and--

(3) resides in the State on the current lien date.

An unmarried person who owns property valued at \$5,000 or more, or a married person, who, together with the spouse, owns property valued at \$10,000 or more, is ineligible for this exemption.

If the claimant is married and does not own property eligible for the full amount of the exemption, property of the spouse shall be eligible for the unused balance of the exemption.

(p) Property in the amount of \$1,000 of a claimant who--

(1) is the unmarried spouse of a deceased veteran who met the service requirement stated in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection 3 (o), and

(2) does not own property in excess of \$10,000, and

(3) is a resident of the State on the current lien date.

(q) Property in the amount of \$1,000 of a claimant who--

(1) is the parent of a deceased veteran who met the service requirement stated in paragraphs (1) and (2) of subsection 3(o), and

(2) receives a pension because of the veteran's service, and

(3) is a resident of the State on the current lien date.

Either parent of a deceased veteran may claim this exemption.

An unmarried person who owns property valued at \$5,000 or more, or a married person, who, together with the spouse, owns property valued at \$10,000 or more, is ineligible for this exemption.

(r) No individual residing in the State on the effective date of this amendment who would have been eligible for the exemption provided by the previous section 11/4 of this article had it not been repealed shall lose eligibility for the exemption as a result of this amendment.

5. Please provide your organization's legal name.

California Department of Parks and Recreation.

6. Please provide your organization's common name or DBA, if applicable. (As an example, our official name is the Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council, but we call ourselves the Stewardship Council.)

California State Parks

7. Please provide a letter from the executive director or equivalent officer of your organization approving the submittal of the LSP and the organization's participation in the Stewardship Council's land conservation process.

See Part 3, Supporting Documentation, Response 2.

Rationale for Applying

8. Please describe your organization's rationale for seeking to receive a donation of fee title to the specific watershed lands that are the subject of this proposal. (one page or less)

California is unquestionably diverse in resources and in people. California possesses mountains, valleys, forests, lakes, rivers, deserts and the Pacific Ocean, which spans its length. These are coupled with a rich and dynamic history and recreation outlets for every interest. California offers opportunities for inspiration, renewal and reflection in places that can both be starkly powerful and stunningly beautiful. It is therefore with some justification that California's State Park System is said to be the most diverse of all state park systems in the nation, rivaled only by the National Park Service. But among the breadth and diversity of California's state park units, one element is common to all: the land.

Land available for acquisition into the system is scrutinized by guidelines. Of the six guidelines, the property we seek satisfies five areas: Inholdings and Adjacent Properties, Expand Outdoor Recreation Opportunities, Significant Cultural Resource Properties, Natural Resources and Sustainable Ecosystems, and Trail connections and Corridor Acquisitions.

The land that is presently available through the Stewardship Council is adjacent to lands that comprise MBFMSP. CSP already operates some of the land under a Use Agreement with PG&E and the land is open for use by park visitors. Park Visitors access the land by boat and foot. Due to the Use Agreement, no land ownership delineation is marked on park brochures or signage. The visitors' presently experience a walk in the park without knowledge of ownership created by the Agreement. CSP would like to continue this seamless visit for people by managing the land as fee title holders.

CSP's rationale is to manage the land by in the same manner the park is managed to increase visitor enjoyment and protection of resources.

Organization's Mission

9. Please provide your organization's formal stated mission and explain how it is consistent with and supports the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs on the watershed lands. (one page or less)

Mission Statement:

"The mission of the California Department of Parks and Recreation is to provide for the health, inspiration and education of the people of California by helping to preserve the state's extraordinary biological diversity, protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources, and creating opportunities for high quality outdoor recreation."

California State Parks is committed to a management approach that benefits the resources and the public. Management methods may change according to geographic location, diversity within natural and cultural resources, public needs and community input. The California State Park System is well versed in designing, implementing and evaluating management plans that are supported and regulated by the California Resources Code. The California State Park System currently operates 278 units that range from Off Highway Vehicle areas, high impact recreational areas, traditional campgrounds, and historic sites. Specialized support is available by the department through both district and statewide offices.

California State Parks will commit to managing the parcels offered through the Lake Britton Unit according to a plan set forth and agreed upon by the Stewardship Council and California State Parks. Once a fee title recipient is in place, the California State Parks will establish a team to manage the lands. The Beneficial Public Values will be evaluated and employees including environmental scientists, planners, archeologists, maintenance personnel, rangers and managers will work together to meet the needs of these parcels and have their use similar to the land presently managed at MBFMSP. The stated objectives in the LCP Volume II for Lake Britton are wholly in line with the present management of MBFMSP and the Mission Statement.

Geographic Focus

10. Describe your organization's relevant experience and interest in the region in which the watershed lands that are the subject of this proposal are located, as it relates to owning and managing lands, preserving and enhancing the BPVs, and working with land conservation partners. (one page or less)

MBFMSP will preserve and enhance habitat in order to protect special biological and cultural resources, as well as enhance public access, educational opportunities, the recreation experience and sustainable forestry management. Over the past 85 years, MBFMSP has successfully worked to live up to our

mission which is mirrored in the Beneficial Values set forth in the LCP Volume II. The General Plan as well as the California Environmental Quality Act require California State Parks to fully research all activities and insure that actions are supported by the community.

In recent years, we have worked with the:

Pit River Tribe who acts as our Native American advisor under policy set forth with the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act (NAGPRA).

The tribe also acts as consultants on CEQA projects requiring monitors. They advised on the General Plan and continue to assist on subsequent projects.

California Department of Boating and Waterways who recently funded the new marina facilities. This was at no cost to California State Parks.

Save Burney Falls on the proposed Great Shasta Rails to Trails project.

Pacific Crest Trail Association to maintain the trail within the state park and provide facility support and training to trail crews.

Department of Fish and Game on water and biological resource issues.

PG&E on the Pit River 3-4-5 project before, during and after the relicensing by sharing information and resources.

McArthur-Burney Falls Interpretive Association on interpretive programming within the park. The board of this non-profit organization is comprised of community members who work closely with park staff to assist in fundraising efforts to increase interpretive opportunities.

Volcanic Legacy Scenic Byway, a member along with California State Parks, in a consortium of agencies and non-profits to educate the public who choose to tour by vehicle.

National Natural Landmark to bring grant funding into the park. The latest grant supported the creation of a DVD of the park that is shown at the Visitor Center and available for sale.

Other projects:

We are presently assembling a fuel reduction program on Clark Creek Road that can be extended to include lands in the Lake Britton Unit.

In 2007, we transformed the old concession building into a Visitor Center.

California State Parks is a Land Conservation Partner for the McArthur Swamp due to the proximity of Ahjumawi Lava Springs State Park. We have worked closely with the Fall River Resource Conservation District, Shasta Land Trust, The Pit River Tribe and others during the Stewardship Council Pilot Project.

Organizational Experience and Capacity

11. Describe up to three specific projects that most effectively illustrate your organization's relevant experience and capacity to own and manage lands, and to preserve and enhance resource values that are most comparable to the BPVs

associated with the watershed lands that are the subject of this proposal. (two pages or less)

California State Parks has an extensive and exemplary history of acquiring and managing properties that further its multi-faceted mission to provide for the health, inspiration, and education of the people of California by helping to preserve the state's extraordinary biological diversity, protecting its most valued natural and cultural resources, and creating opportunities for high-quality outdoor recreation. Examples of the Lake Britton Planning Units stated Beneficial Public Values of Protecting the Natural Habitat, Preservation of Open Space, Provision of Quality Outdoor Public Recreation, Sustainable Forest Resources and Preservation of Historic Resources abound in its 278 park units.

California State Parks has an active program for sustaining its forest resources. While revenue is not generated through tree harvesting, revenue is nevertheless realized through entrance fees for the public's enjoyment of the state's preserved forest resources. Particularly noteworthy is the department's experience with sustaining and maintaining the old growth and regenerated redwoods from the acquisition of the best examples of both the coast and interior redwoods to the use of fire as a management tool to the most recent investigations into the impact of global warming on their decline throughout the state. The department coordinates and works with numerous agencies, organizations and land trusts to preserve these groves and allow public access. Programs exist to inventory, map, and evaluate the state's extensive redwood holdings.

Numerous examples exist that evidence California State Park's experience and ability to own and operate properties for the preservation and enhancement of their natural resource values. One noteworthy and recent example is the interagency acquisition and preservation of the 82,000 acre Hearst Ranch in San Luis Obispo County. This historic ranch is the largest privately owned working cattle ranch on the California coast. It contains extraordinary natural resources including scenic vistas, critical beach habitat for elephant seals and other protected species and sandy beaches. The interior sections of the ranch support cattle grazing as well as wildlife on rolling grasslands, in oak and pine forests, and in numerous riparian areas and watersheds. Completion of the agreement for \$95 million after more than six years of planning to acquire the ranch marks one of the largest land conservation transactions in state history. By acquiring both fee and conservation easement of this ranch, not only has the potential for development been eliminated, but the public now has access to another 18 miles of spectacular coastline along scenic Highway One while the working landscape at Hearst Ranch will be preserved forever. Thanks to the efforts of conservationists, environmentalists, agricultural interests, local communities and the Hearst family, all working together, this spectacular coastal landscape will be forever preserved.

12. Please describe the location, size, length of time held, uses, and current management practices for each property held or managed by your organization. (Organizations that hold or manage more than 10 properties may

limit this description to those properties that it believes are most relevant and best illustrate the organization's land management experience and capacity.) (one page or less)

DPR is a steward of 1.7 million acres held in public trust for the health, inspiration and education of the people of California and strives to help preserve the state's extraordinary biological diversity, protect its most valued natural and cultural resources, and create opportunities for high-quality recreation.

Organizational Finances

13. As an attachment, please provide your organization's operating budget for the current year and copies of financial statements for your organization's three most recent fiscal years (e.g., statements through 12/31/2009, or 6/30/2009). We would prefer audited financial statements; however, it is more important to us that you submit the most current financial information you have. If audited financial statements are not available, the following (in order of preference) can be provided as a substitute: an independent auditor's review; an independent auditor's compilation with full footnote disclosure; or a complete Income Statement and Balance Sheet with no independent review. If you have specific questions about financial documentation, please contact Joel Wagner at the Stewardship Council at (650) 401-2159.

Please see Part III - Supporting Documents

14. For non-governmental organizations only, please summarize your organization's sources of funding, and how this funding is invested and managed. (one page or less)

Not Applicable

15. Please identify any pending sources of grant funds or potential grant funds from which your organization has successfully received funding in the past. Please also describe your organization's strategy for securing these additional sources of support. (one page or less).

California State Parks maintains its own Grants and Local Services Division. The Division assists the department in preparing the various grant applications for the numerous grants available to state agencies, such as the Environmental Enhancement Mitigation Program, that can realize supplemental funding for a wide range of projects such as land acquisition, recreation facilities planning and construction, resource restoration and management activities and conservation research.

The Division also coordinates, advises, issues and administers the numerous grant programs assigned as its responsibility to provide funds to local and state agencies

and other organizations, such as the State Land and Water Conservation Fund Program, the Recreational Trails Program and the Proposition 84 Statewide Park Program.

California State Parks envisions utilizing future grants, both grants awarded to it and grants it awards to its project conservation partners, as one of the several sources of funds to preserve and enhance the properties accepted as a donee of the Stewardship Council properties.

One of DPR's strategies for securing available grants is to maintain a detailed up to date database for when these various fund sources can be requested and coordinating the application process unique to the various grant sources. These grant sources are especially applicable in times of budget constraints, such as the present.

16. For public entities only, please identify the department that would acquire fee title to lands and whether use of timber revenue, lease revenue, or funding provided by the Council for the specific land management objectives could and/or would be restricted to use on donated lands. (one page or less)

Title would be in the name of The State of California acting by and through the Department of Parks and Recreation. Within the Department, the Acquisition and Real Property Services Division has the lead responsibility to process an acquisition through from planning to closing and escrow. The Department does not anticipate any timber or lease revenue from the donated properties as it is against the Department's policy to utilize the resources other than for protection and minimal recreation on these types of properties. A concessionaire operation might be appropriate on some of the donated properties. If so, these concession revenues would be returned to the specific state park.

Any funding by the Stewardship Council would be restricted to the specific parcels obtained and would be documented in the Acquisition Agreement.

Key Personnel/Staff

17. Please describe the current paid staff positions and/or key personnel in your organization that would be responsible for the day-to-day management activities as well as any proposed special projects (e.g., enhancements) for the watershed lands. In addition, please indicate if your organization has any volunteers and their function. You may provide a summary, and/or attach resumes, that describe the relevant experience for these key personnel. (two pages or less)

Employees directly responsible for MBFMSP (daily function)

Sector Superintendent	1
Park Maintenance Chief	1
State Park Peace Officer Supervisor (Ranger)	1
State Park Peace Officers (Ranger)	3
State Park Peace Officers (Ranger) (seasonal)	1

Park Maintenance Worker I	1
Park Maintenance Assistant	1
Park Interpretive Specialist (seasonal)	1
Visitor Services and Maintenance Services Seasonal Employees	8-10
volunteers – assist in park operations (Visitor Center staffing, parking, park support functions, accounting for funds collected.)	25

Employees in the Cascade Sector (Shasta) responsible for MBFMSP

Sector Superintendent (shared with MBFMSP)	1
Park Maintenance Chief (shared with MBFMSP)	1
Office Technician	1
State Park Peace Officer Supervisor (Ranger)	1
State Park Peace Officers (Ranger)	1
State Park Peace Officer (Ranger) – seasonal	1
Park Maintenance Worker I	2
Park Guide I	1
Park Interpretive Specialists	2
Visitor Services and Maintenance Services Seasonal Employees	8-10
Volunteers – assist in interpretation and park operations	40

Employees in the Northern Buttes District (Oroville) responsible for MBFMSP

Administrative Officer	2
Office Assistant/Office Tech	1
Park Maintenance Chief/Supervisor	4
Civil Engineer	1
Park Maintenance Worker	13
Environmental Scientist	1
Park and Recreation Specialist	1
Heavy Equipment Mechanic	1
Regional Interpretive Specialist	1
Museum Curator	1
Management Services Technician	1
State Park Peace Officer Supervisor	3
State Park Peace Officer	18
State Park Equipment Operator	1
State Park Superintendent	6
Water and Sewer Plant Supervisor	1
Auto Mechanic	2
Associate Archeologist	1

Resume for Marilyn Linkem:

Education

UC Sacramento, Parks and Recreation, Natural Resources B.S. received 1981

Work History – 20 years with CA State Parks

District Superintendent V - Northern Buttes District - 17 park units including McArthur Burney Falls State Park 4/1/10 to present.

Responsible for overseeing and managing all aspects of the District operations including visitor services, maintenance, resource management, interpretation, real property, concessions, interagency contacts and public relations. I am responsible for a 10M budget. There are 76 full time positions and over 120 seasonal positions throughout the District.

District Superintendent IV - Mendocino District, 17 park units 7/1/06 to 3/31/10

Similar responsibilities as above. I was responsible for a 3.2M budget. There were 36 full time positions and over 75 seasonal positions throughout the District.

Sector Superintendent III - Redwood Coast Sector– Redwood National & State Park, 10 park units 9/1/04 to 6/30/06

I worked directly with the National Park Superintendent in the operation of Redwood National and State Park. We were responsible for both State and Federal laws in overseeing and managing all aspects of the Sector operations including visitor services, maintenance, resource management, interpretation, real property, concessions, interagency contacts and public relations. There were approximately 36 State employees and 120 Federal employees in the Sector

Sector Superintendent II - Alpine/Mono and Tahoe Sectors, Sierra District, 7 park units - 11/1/2000 to 8/31/04

Associate State Park Resource Ecologist - Gold Mines District – 8 park units 9/1/98 – 11/1/2000

Responsible for the environmental compliance in 7 park units including Folsom Lake State Recreation Area, Empire Mine State Historic Park, Brannon Island State Recreation Area, Malakoff Diggins State Historic Park. I was the lead person for 2 Environmental Interns. I reviewed Timber Harvest Plans, CEQA documents, conducted tree hazard surveys and assisted with prescribed burns.

Community Engagement and Collaboration

18. Describe collaborative efforts your organization has been engaged in with organizations and stakeholders either in the geographic region where the subject watershed lands are located or elsewhere. (two pages or less)

California State Parks has worked with PG&E in several locations where they are neighbors to preserve the conservation values and provide public access in the regional context. In the Irish Hills near San Luis Obispo, the department has coordinated resource protection activities at its Montana del Oro State Park with

PG&E's Diablo Nuclear Power Plant to insure preservation of native plant species, enhancement of regional wildlife corridors, and the provision of a joint trail system. Currently, the department is in negotiations with PG&E and its leaseholder on a large portion of its Diablo Canyon properties to acquire the land to prevent residential development and to provide for a continuation of the California's Coastal Trail.

19. Describe your organization's most relevant experience soliciting stakeholder input on projects comparable to the transfer and permanent protection of land pursuant to Stewardship Council's land conservation program and process. Describe the approach that your organization would use to solicit stakeholder input and disseminate information to the public on proposed future activities or measures for, and management of, the subject watershed lands. (two pages or less)

Every California State Parks acquisition project has its stakeholders and they vary as much as the properties of concern. For the Stewardship Council lands at Lake Britton, in addition to PG&E, the Stewardship Council, Shasta County, the conservation easement holder and any conservation partners, stakeholders would of course include the public at large as a priority and all applicable interest groups including community groups, regulatory entities, potential concessionaires, and the like.

Stakeholder input on proposed acquisitions of land to add to the State Park System is initially obtained through a Public Hearing process as required by Public Resources Code 5006.1. Solicitations to known interest groups; affected regulatory agencies; county, state and federal political representatives of the specific project locality; and the media at large through paid notices and press releases insures a wide variety of concerns are heard.

On all acquisitions of property CEQA is a stakeholder document by virtue of its being submitted for comment to state agencies by the State Clearinghouse of the Governor's Office of Planning and Research.

DPR's Department Acquisition Review Team (DART) & the Planning, Policy and Programming Committee (PPPC), comprised of the heads of the Cultural, Natural, Interpretive, Operations and Acquisition Divisions within the department are charged with the review and approval of all proposed acquisitions. The individual team members maintain close contact with their respective stakeholder interest groups and keep up to date on their concerns.

All Department acquisitions must be approved by the State Public Works Board whose meetings are open to the public.

As noted, California State Parks has extensive experience soliciting and acting upon relevant stakeholder concerns on proposed acquisitions. Such experience has included projects of all sizes. Some recent examples of the variety as annotated for the prominent stakeholders include:

1. Hearst Ranch in San Luis Obispo County – This 80,000 acre acquisition involved numerous project partners in the planning, acquisition and funding of the ranch conservation easement and acceptance of fee lands along the 13 mile coast. Partners included the Hearst Corporation, the American Land Conservancy, the California Rangeland Trust, the State Coastal Conservancy, State Parks, Resources Agency, Fish and Game, and Caltrans. Numerous public involvement meetings were scheduled to include the views of the public and interest groups.
2. Etchegary Easement acquisition at Colonel Allensworth State Historic Park – This 2700 acre conservation easement was acquired as the result of significant public and political efforts to prevent construction of a dairy near the park.
3. A 0.05 acre lot in the historic town of Locke on the Sacramento River was acquired to help preserve the historical integrity of the town. Prominent stakeholders included the public, historic interest groups and local government entities.

Post acquisition, California State Parks is required by law to prepare a General Plan for any new park unit or a General Plan Amendment for any acquisition that adds acreage to any existing State Park Unit. A general plan is a document that provides broad policy and programmatic guidance regarding the development and management of an individual unit of the State Park System. This guidance is essential to the Department's managers and its staff, and is of value to those stakeholder organizations and individuals who have a substantial interest in the State Park System and its individual units. The purpose and requirements for these general plan documents and the process for their preparation are outlined in the department's Planning Handbook and General Plan Process Chart. Numerous public meetings and workshops are held preparatory to developing a draft and final General Plan. All General Plans and General Plan Amendments must respect existing limitations or encumbrances on any uses on the land as documented in the title reports. For the properties donated in fee to California State Parks by the Stewardship Council at Lake Britton, the limitations cited in the conservation easement would be critical issues in steering the preparation of the General Plan Amendment for the MacArthur-Burney Falls Memorial State Park.

Legal Compliance and Best Practices

20. Describe what best practices, standards, or guiding principles your organization uses to ensure all organizational operations are legally and ethically sound and in the public interest. Please attach a copy of your organization's written conflict of interest policy, if one exists. (one page or less)

California State Parks are governed by the California Government Code, The Public Resources Code, our own policies and procedures (as defined in the Department Operations Manual and Department Administration Manual) and various handbooks (i.e. Natural Resources Handbook).

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) is a statute that requires state and local agencies to identify the significant environmental impacts of their actions and to avoid or mitigate those impacts, if feasible.

Most proposals for physical development in California are subject to the provisions of CEQA, as are many governmental decisions which do not immediately result in physical development (such as adoption of a general or community plan). Every development project which requires a discretionary governmental approval will require at least some environmental review pursuant to CEQA, unless an exemption applies.

The environmental review required imposes both procedural and substantive requirements. At a minimum, an initial review of the project and its environmental effects must be conducted. Depending on the potential effects, a further, and more substantial, review may be conducted in the form of an environmental impact report (EIR). A project may not be approved as submitted if feasible alternatives or mitigation measures are able to substantially lessen the significant environmental effects of the project.

21. For non-governmental organizations only, please indicate whether your organization is currently nationally accredited with the Land Trust Alliance (LTA), and has adopted and operates in accordance with LTA standards and practices. (one page or less)

Not applicable.

22. Has your organization made provisions for another organization to assume ownership and management responsibilities for your assets in the event that your organization is unable to continue operations? (one page or less)

No specific provisions have been made or are necessary. California State Parks enjoys a long history of professional and responsible ownership and operation of its 278 parks units covering more than 1.7 million acres and of the public's support for acquiring additional lands to add to the State Park System. Despite the occasional shortage of full operating funds from the Legislature, there has never been an occasion to abandon its responsibilities to others for the ownership and operation of any of its units. Having been purchased with the public's funds through the general funds or the numerous park bonds voted in by the voters, the public expects the land to be there always for their use and enjoyment and expects California State Parks to always be there to maintain and operate them. Even on those few occasions when California State Parks finds itself unable to fully care for, operate and maintain its lands such as last years budget cuts where some parks were faced with closure or reduced operations, others such as local clubs, docent

groups, counties, cities, and other interest groups stepped in and took over temporarily to get the work done. Additionally, when it is to the public's benefit, California State Parks has the ability to utilize the various providers of specialized services using a lease or concession agreement.

Lastly, DPR can not legally dispose of its land assets without invoking a codified surplus process that involves an extensive search for acceptable entities to own the surplus lands.

23. Describe any current violations of law associated with your organization. (one page or less)

California State Parks has no current violations of law that it is aware of.

24. Please indicate if any applicable law or policy precludes your organization from accepting a conservation easement on the watershed lands for which you are seeking fee title. If so, indicate what form of satisfactory assurance you propose to offer instead. (one page or less)

None of the laws and codes under which the Department of Parks and Recreation operates precludes the Department from accepting a conservation easement over lands being transferred to the Department in fee. The Public Resource Code specifically allows the Department to acquire any title to or any interest in real property.

Public Resources Code

5006. (a) The department, with the consent of the Department of Finance, and subject to Section 15853 of the Government Code, may acquire title to or any interest in real property, including personal property incidental to the purchase of real property and options to purchase property, which the department deems necessary or proper for the extension, improvement, or development of the state park system. All real and personal property acquired by the department for the state park system shall be under the jurisdiction of the department immediately upon transfer of title to the state.

For the Lake Britton Planning Unit, California State Parks would hope to play a central role in developing the language of the conservation easement with the Stewardship Council, PG&E and conservation easement holder to insure it meets all the requirements of the Settlement Agreement while allowing public use in a state park setting.

Conflict of Interest Disclosure

25. To assist the Stewardship Council in evaluating whether any actual or potential conflicts of interest may arise, please include a disclosure of any personal or financial relationships of which you are aware between (a) your organization and its members, trustees, directors, officers or stockholders, and (b) a member of the Stewardship Council's board, his or her family members, or

the board member's constituent organization. The existence of such a relationship may or may not require the affected board member to refrain from participating in the consideration of any land transaction in which your organization is involved.

DPR has many business related relationships, including the acquisition of land, with most all the organizations that comprise the Stewardship Council Board that may include financial as well as personal relationships. Such financial relationships are well documented and have always been transparent and above board. The authors of this Proposal are not aware of any personal relationships of Department employees with the Stewardship Council's board, his or her family members, or the board member's constituent organization, but with more than 3000 employees such relationships may exist.

As to specific Council Board members, California State Parks has worked extensively with Council Board member Larry Meyers of the California Tribal Interests in acquiring the lands for and developing the California Indian Heritage Center in West Sacramento. Similarly, Parks has worked on numerous occasions with Board member David Sutton of the Trust for Public Land on their acquiring land for the State Park System. Lastly, the Department functions are under the umbrella of the Resources Agency whose Director, Lester Snow, is a Board member.

Moreover, California State Parks often cooperates as a partner in funding acquisitions or operating the resultant properties through mutual agreement with the following entities who are represented on the Board.

- California Department of Fish and Game
- U.S. Forest Service
- Bureau of Land Management

Additionally, California State Parks would typically coordinate with the following regulatory entities in its acquisition, planning, design, construction, and operations activities to insure adherence to all state and local regulatory requirements.

- California Public Utilities Commission
- Central Valley Regional Water Quality Control Board
- Pacific Gas and Electric Company
- State Water Resources Control Board

California State Parks also has many easements both in favor of and in favor to PG&E on its many properties.

PART 2 - LAND STEWARDSHIP INFORMATION

Land Interests Sought

26. Please identify the specific parcel(s) or portions of parcels that your organization is interested in receiving in fee title. Please refer to the background information packet for parcel identification numbers and approximate acreage. (one page or less).

1. Approximately a 25 acre portion of Parcel ID # 244 / Shasta County APN 023-080-007.
2. Approximately a 11 acre portion of Parcel ID # 245 / Shasta County APN 023-080-007.
3. Approximately a 17 acre portion of Parcel ID # 249 / Shasta County APN 023-060-022.
4. Approximately a 66 acre portion of Parcel ID # 269 / Shasta County APN 023-080-001.

27. Please indicate if the transfer of the watershed lands identified above would require a lot line adjustment, boundary survey, or legal parcel split. Please describe any proposed lot line adjustment, boundary survey, or parcel split and indicate why the proposed measure is necessary and how the proposed lot line adjustment or parcel split would contribute to the preservation and enhancement of the BPVs. Please include these costs in your organization's budget and funding plan and indicate if your organization would contribute funds for these costs. (one page or less)

Neither a lot line adjustment nor a parcel split is applicable to acquisitions by a California state agency by virtue of an exemption under the Subdivision Map Act as follows:

Subdivision Map Act exemption (see Government Code 66426.5)

66426.5. Any conveyance of land to a governmental agency, public entity, public utility or subsidiary of a public utility for conveyance to that public utility for rights-of-way shall not be considered a division of land for purposes of computing the number of parcels. For purposes of this section, any conveyance of land to a governmental agency shall include a fee interest, a leasehold interest, an easement, or a license.

In lieu of a parcel split or lot line adjustment, the state will define the limits of the proposed acquisition by developing the applicable legal description as part of the new title. Post acquisition, Shasta County has the option of tagging the state's parcel just acquired with an assessor parcel number as well as the parcel portion remaining with PG&E. Boundary surveys would normally be required to generate the applicable legal descriptions. The existing section lines or subsection lines may require additional surveys if on ground control can not be found on which the current parcel is legally described in the Preliminary Title Reports. The state, subject to its funding level, could contribute to the costs of boundary surveys-

The new parcel created by the states acquisition would contribute to the project BPV's by removing these lands from the remaining PG&E lands encumbered with PG&E's hydropower activities.

28. Please provide an overview of your organization's internal process for approving the acquisition of, and completing the transaction associated with, real property. (one page or less)

The Departments internal acquisition approval process consists of approvals prior to obtaining title reports (the planning approvals) and post title reports (completing the

transactions).

Planning approvals consist of a four step process: Approval by the department's Northern Buttes District utilizing a Real Estate Assessment Document (READ), approval by the Department Acquisition Review Team (DART) consisting of the chiefs of the Operations, Natural, Cultural, Interpretive and Acquisition Divisions, approval of the Planning Policy and Programming Committee (PPPC) and approval of the Director, Ruth Coleman.

For completing the transaction, below are listed the steps that Acquisition and Real Property Services Division land agents use to process a standard no cost acquisition. The process follows all applicable resource codes and government codes, industry standards, legislative direction and environmental laws. Numerous approvals are required. There may be additional approvals necessary to address the conservation easement involved.

PRELIMINARY RESEARCH ITEMS

1. Order an estimate of the properties value from the Department of General Services (DGS).
2. Prepare a preliminary Acquisition Plan Map.
3. Complete a Project Evaluation Form (PEF) and Submit to DPR CEQA Coordinator for review and preparation and processing of CEQA document.
4. Request Preliminary Title Report (already provided by the Stewardship Council).
5. Request site evaluation and visit from DPR and DGS Environmental Services Section. Submit for Acquisition Review to DGS Real Estate Services Section.

REVIEW DOCUMENTS/FOLLOW UP

6. Review Preliminary Title and doe's and prepare applicable portion of Property Acquisition Summary.
7. Review CEQA document filed with State Clearinghouse.
8. Prepare the Public Works Board (PWB) site selection request.
9. Prepare the Property Acquisition Agreement and appropriate conveyance document, Certificate of Acceptance and Notary Acknowledgment form and submit with Preliminary Title Report, Property Acquisition Summary, and Acquisition review.
10. Prepare escrow instructions.
11. Prepare and send owner offer letter with deed, Property Acquisition Agreement, Acquisition Map and request any item required by the review of the preliminary title or relocation report.
12. Submit signed deed and Property Acquisition Agreements to Director's Office for signature.
13. Prepare final acquisition package with attachments for DGS approval, Include original deed and Property Acquisition Agreement.
14. DGS will send DPR written memo of approval and/or returns deed and Property Acquisition Agreement to DPR and DGS will request release of PWB Resolution from Finance.

15. Send Escrow Instructions with deed and other necessary information to Title Company or Escrow Company.
16. Prepare completion package upon receipt of recorder deed and title policy.
17. Pay Title and Escrow charges and send to DPR Accounting.

Baseline and Enhanced Land Management

29. Please describe baseline and enhanced management activities or practices identified in your organization's proposed project budget and funding plan.

(Note: *Baseline* management refers to activities or practices such as site security, general clean up and repairs, tenant management, and general state and local requirements for property owners; while *enhanced* management refers to management activities that enhance the BPVs, such as surveys and the development of land management plans). (six pages or less)

Specifically, describe:

- a) **The proposed activities and how proposed activities would achieve baseline and enhanced management of the watershed lands (e.g., the frequency and nature of on-the ground site management activities, the anticipated duration or frequency of proposed site activities, and the staffing associated with such activities);**

Site management will be folded into the existing management of MBFMSP. Site visits will be dependent on activity – both visitors (i.e. security, interpretive presentations, etc.) and projects. Routine patrol is conducted by law enforcement personnel. The land we are seeking we either already patrol due to our use agreement or check on due to the proximity to the park. From May-October, staff is available daily from 0800-2300 hours (or later) and 0800-1600 the remainder of the year. Maintenance staff is available from 0700-2000 during peak visitation and 0700-1500 during off season. Any projects (i.e. fuel reduction, surveys) would be scheduled as funding is secured. Present projects planned are fuel management/reduction along Clark Creek Road.

- b) **How the proposed enhanced management activities would contribute to the management objectives for the planning unit listed in Volume IT of the Land Conservation Plan and the Recommended Priority Measures;**

A regular presence of State Park staff would exist for protection of the natural habitat of fish, wildlife and plants consistent with the BPV's. The area that is not presently folded into our management plans (ID#269) would still be included. All resources would be evaluated if any change of use was proposed using the CEQA process.

Presently, the following documents are available:

- General Plan
- Interpretive Prospectus
- Park Core Area Development Plan
- Fire Management Plan
- Prescribed Burn Plan
- Vegetative Management Statement

- Historic Sites Records (Confidential)

MBFMSP is also noted as one of the 146 areas recognized by Audubon California

Additional work would be done to survey lands to identify biological resources and enable their protection. The roads and trails that exist would be evaluated and how they relate to MBFMSP and existing trails and roads. The goal of parks is to allow access to areas for visitation by hiking.

MBFMSP has a Vegetative Management Statement. The purpose of the vegetation management statement (VMS) is to disclose the current vegetation management goals that guide vegetation management decisions and actions in the park, including the understanding and rationale behind them. The VMS is a living document and will be reviewed and updated at five year intervals or sooner if there is a significant change in understanding or conditions change significantly. In this capacity the VMS serves as a guiding document for staff and provides for continuity in management as staff changes hands. The VMS also explains vegetation management objectives to other functions within the Department, to decision makers within and outside the Department, and to members of the public that are interested.

If the General Plan review is supportive and funds were available, the Headwaters Trail Camp that is currently located within the park boundaries would be moved to the area on the road that accesses the PSEA Camp or the old Ferry Crossing. This area would be much more suitable for camping than the present location that is very close to the noise that emanates from Highway 89. The project would require a water source, relocation of existing vault toilets, relocation of existing horse corral and campsite development (including road access, gates and physical sites). The area is level and the forest makes for an ideal setting for camping.

The potential measure suggesting that directional signage at MBFMSP to encourage visitation for wildlife viewing at Lake Britton is an accomplishable goal. The signs could be posted at Highway 299 and 89. California State Parks has worked with the Pit 3-4-5 during the relicensing process to develop interpretive signage that will be posted at the park near the visitor center and at the lake's edge. One panel explains what Lake Britton is and provides a map explaining how visitors can access the lake from the main park area. The park's main visitation is for viewing of the falls with the goal of also explaining to visitors that Lake Britton exists. If visitors enter the park from the northbound Highway 89, many would not know of the lake's existence without interpretive signing or information provided by park staff.

Presently, interpretive programs are offered multiple times weekly by park staff. The acquisition of the land to the park would be included in the present programming by offering hikes that highlight the new land and explaining the

Stewardship Council process.

CSP has a well established Cultural Management program under the direction of the Archeology, History and Museums Division. In our district, we have a Senior Archeologist that coordinates and does reporting for cultural resources. The position works with support from headquarters and in conjunction with appropriate tribe. We have a very good relationship with the Pit River Tribe and work with them at MBFMSP and Ahjumawi Lava Springs State Park. The newly acquired land would be included in our existing survey in accordance with state and federal regulations. CSP would also work with PG&E archeologist to incorporate information already recorded during the Pit 3-4-5 studies to not duplicate, only enhance, already conducted surveys.

c) How the proposed enhanced management activities differ from current management by PG&E (to the extent such information is available);

The proposed enhanced management activities would only improve the access and usage of the land than presently exists.

d) How the proposed baseline and enhanced land management activities would potentially impact public use of the watershed lands and any existing economic uses;

There would be no relative change in any economic use. There may be a slight hike in visitation because of media events discussing the transfer of ownership from the Stewardship Council to CSP, but visitation trends are difficult to predict. Public use would increase due to the normal method of communication (signs/brochures) CSP utilize to encourage use of the park.

e) The timeline for when your organization would incur costs associated with the proposed enhanced management activities; and

Costs would be folded into our present budget. The amount of land and the expected usage would not increase the workload of present staff until a General Plan is amended and present activities change (i.e. possibility of building a new camping area or constructing trails in ID#269). The timeline for the General Plan revision is 3-6 years. Signage for the Wildlife Viewing could begin earlier. The management plans would begin within 1-2 years of acquisition. Interpretive Programming would begin when staff allows and programs are created.

f) How the proposed enhanced management activities would reflect applicable land management best practices.

California State Parks has an excellent record of managing land. The CEQA process requires ongoing communication between specialists (Historians, Archeologists, land managers, maintenance, engineers, etc.)

and approval by posting for stakeholders to review. With the safeguards in place, land management best practices are achieved.

Physical Enhancements/Capital Improvements

30. Please describe and explain any proposed physical enhancements or capital improvements identified by your organization and include these costs in your organization's proposed project budget and funding plan (e.g., trail, restrooms, habitat restoration project, fencing, youth facilities, etc.). Specifically, please describe how the proposed physical enhancements or capital improvements would contribute to the objectives listed in Volume II of the Land Conservation Plan and the Recommended Priority Measures for the planning unit. Please also include a timeline for when your organization anticipates incurring one-time costs and ongoing annual costs associated with proposed physical enhancements or capital improvements, taking into account satisfaction of all applicable regulatory requirements, including CEQA and NEPA. (four pages or less)

Our proposed management would not be altered from present. We have had a very successful relationship with PG&E and the existing Use Agreement.

In ID#269, we would research the viability of moving the PCT Camp “Headwaters” to the site along the road to the ferry crossing or off of the PSEA Road to get hikers away from the traffic noise. The estimated cost of moving the camp is \$200,000 once it is approved and included in the General Plan Amendment and funds are available. CEQA would be necessary. Improvements would include: water storage/treatment, power (lines exist in area), restroom construction (may be able to “recycle” existing new vault toilets that already exist in Headwaters Trail Camp), campsite creation, campsite furniture and signage, roads, gate, horse corral, trail and interpretive signage. The annual ongoing cost is estimated at \$20,000. Included in annual ongoing costs are garbage collection, maintenance of facilities, fee collection, utilities and replacement costs. Present estimated income is \$1000/year from fees for camping.

Estimated Timeline:

June 2011	Land awarded
January 2013	Amend MBFMSP General Plan
June 2013	CEQA process complete
June 2014	Construction of new trail camp complete

Land Conservation Partners and Youth Opportunities

31. Has your organization identified any potential land conservation partners to be involved in any of the proposed management or enhancement activities, including youth-related activities? If so, please name and describe their proposed role(s) and responsibilities. (one page or less)

California State Parks presently manages youth-related activities at MBFMSP including, but not limited to, Junior Ranger programs for 7-12 year olds, Campfire programs, nature walks for families and on and off site interpretive programs. At times, we will work with local groups such as Spring Rivers Foundation on specific projects, however we have no plan to work with a land conservation partner in this area.

32. Does your organization have previous experience working with the proposed land conservation partner organization(s)? Please describe. (one page or less)

California State Parks is opting to not use land conservation partners for the lands, but request assistance for specific projects as support is needed.

33. Please provide a letter from the executive director or equivalent officer of each organization identified as a potential land conservation partner indicating the organization's committed interest in pursuing the activities and responsibilities identified.

Public Input

34. Please describe how your organization has considered public input provided to the Stewardship Council to date in the development of this proposal. (one page)

A review of the published Public Comment portion of the Stewardship Council website for the Lake Britton Planning Unit reveals only three applicable public comments, all supporting the project proposal prohibiting grazing and mining and maintaining only a limited level of forest management.

Budget and Funding Plan

35. Please use the Excel template provided to develop and present a proposed preliminary budget and funding plan within the following categories:

- * Transaction costs
- * One-time and ongoing baseline land ownership and management activities; and
- * One-time and ongoing costs related to enhancements of the BPVs.

Note: The budget and funding plan will be used by the Stewardship Council to evaluate the financial capacity of your organization and funding needs.

Accordingly, please identify and include in your budget any additional financial resources and forms of financial support your organization believes it would be able to obtain and apply to the watershed lands under the appropriate section for project revenues.

In addition, the budget and funding plan should clearly identify all project expenses,

as well as specify the amount of funding your organization is requesting from the Stewardship Council. Please refer to the budget and funding plan instructions for additional guidance.

PART 3- SUPPORTING DOCUMENTATION

As outlined in the submittal requirements and instructions, please attach the following information to your PDF proposal:

- 1.) Internal Revenue Service Determination Letters; and

- 2.) Letter from the executive director or equivalent officer of your organization approving the submission of the land stewardship proposal and the organization's participation in the Stewardship Council's land conservation process for the subject planning unit.

As a separate PDF please include the following:

- 3.) Operating Budget (current year); and
- 4.) Financial statements for the past three years (audited statements are preferred).

If your organization desires to keep any of the financial information under items 3 or 4 confidential, please indicate this by labeling each page "Confidential." Please include the budget and funding plan in the Microsoft Excel template provided by the Stewardship Council.

Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
 Land Stewardship Proposal Budget and Funding Plan

Lake Britton Planning Unit

California State Parks

PROJECT BUDGET - TRANSACTION COSTS

A. Document Preparation Costs

Task	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	Total Cost	Funding Request	Comments
Acquisition Plan	each	1	2,000	2,000	2,000	
PEF / CEQA	report	1	3,000	3,000	3,000	
DGS Review	report	3	4,000	12,000	12,000	
Desk appraisal	report	1				
PWB Site Selection	report	1				
PWB Acquisition	report	1				
<i>To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells</i>						
A. Total Document Preparation Costs				17,000	17,000	

B. Closing Costs

Task	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	Total Cost	Funding Request	Comments
Conveyance Documents	transaction	3	2,000	2,000	2,000	
Title Insurance	transaction	1				
Escrow / Instructions	transaction	1				
Recordation	transaction	1				
Completion Package	report	1	1,000 #	1,000	1000	
<i>To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells</i>						
B. Total Closing Costs				3,000	3,000	

C. Other

Task	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	Total Cost	Funding Request	Comments
Boundary Survey & Legal Description	linear feet	4,420	5	22,100	22,100	
Ownership Record / Mapping	report	1	7,000	7,000	7,000	
<i>To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells</i>						
C. Total Other				29,100	29,100	
TOTAL TRANSACTION COSTS				49,100	49,100	

Additional Notes:

Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
Land Stewardship Proposal Budget and Funding Plan
#REF!

PROJECT BUDGET - BASELINE LAND OWNERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES (SEE NOTE 1)

PROJECT REVENUES

Source	Description	Non- SC Sources of Funding		Comments
		One-Time Grants	Ongoing Funding	
Committed Funds (cash received or award made)				
Grant Awards				
Fundraising				
Matching Funds				
Lease Revenues				
Timber Revenues				
General Fund/Reserves				
Other (Describe)				
Total Committed Funds		-	-	
Pending Funds (no award made to date)				
Grant Awards	Prop 84 - Nature Education Center	1,123,630		Awarded in December. Visitor Center structural improvements and interpretive exhibits
Fundraising				
Matching Funds				
General Fund/Reserves				
Other (Describe)				
Total Pending or Potential Funds		1,123,630	-	
<i>To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells</i>				
TOTAL PROJECT REVENUES		1,123,630	-	

PROJECT EXPENSES

A. Baseline Management Activities

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	SC Funding Request				Comments
					One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	
Input Activity	Amend General Plan	each	1		100,000		100,000		Required per CEQA
Input Activity	Cultural Resource Study	hour	80	50	4,000				Include in existing park documents
Input Activity	Veg. Management Study	hour	10	50	500				Include in existing park documents
Input Activity	Prescribed Burn Plan	hour	40	50	2,000				Include in existing park documents
Input Activity	Roads/Trail Plan	hour	60	50	3,000				Include in existing park documents
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
<i>To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells</i>									
A. Total Baseline Management Activities					109,500	-	100,000	-	

**Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
Land Stewardship Proposal Budget and Funding Plan
#REF!**

B. Baseline Land Ownership Costs

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Funding Request		Comments
							One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	
Input Activity	Tax Neutrality	each	1				unknown		To be agreed upon between Shasta County, Stewardship Council and State Parks to avoid yearly payments by CSP
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									

To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells

B. Total Baseline Land Ownership Costs							-	-	-	-
---	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---

C. Other

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Funding Request		Comments
							One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									

To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells

C. Total Other							-	-	-	-
-----------------------	--	--	--	--	--	--	---	---	---	---

TOTAL PROJECT EXPENSES					109,500	-	100,000	-	
-------------------------------	--	--	--	--	----------------	---	----------------	---	--

NET REVENUE/(EXPENSE) - BASELINE LAND OWNERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT ACTIVITIES					(109,500)	-	1,223,630	-	
--	--	--	--	--	------------------	---	------------------	---	--

Additional Notes:

Note 1: According to the Stewardship Council's Land Conservation Program Funding Policy, the Stewardship Council will require future land owners to demonstrate that they have the funding and other capacity to maintain that property interest so as to preserve and/or enhance the beneficial values on the Watershed Lands. Exceptions to this guideline will be rare and considered only in circumstances where,
 1) The funding of baseline land ownership and management activities will clearly lead to enhancement of the beneficial public values on the lands; and/or,
 2) The funding of baseline land ownership and management activities is only temporary (≤5 years).

**Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
Land Stewardship Proposal Budget and Funding Plan
#REF!**

PROJECT BUDGET - ENHANCEMENTS TO BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES

PROJECT REVENUES

Source	Description	Non- SC Sources of Funding		Comments
		One-Time Grants	Ongoing Funding	
Committed Funds (cash received or award made)				
Grant Awards				
Fundraising				
Matching Funds				
Lease Revenues				
Timber Revenues				
General Fund/Reserves				
Other (Describe)				
Total Committed Funds		-	-	
Pending Funds (no award made to date)				
Grant Awards				
Fundraising				
Matching Funds				
General Fund/Reserves				
Other (Describe)				
Total Pending or Potential Funds		-	-	
<i>To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells</i>				
TOTAL PROJECT REVENUES		-	-	

PROJECT EXPENSES

A. Enhanced Land Management Activities

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Funding Request		Comments
							One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	
Input Activity	Relocate Headwaters Camp	each	1	200,000	200,000	20,000			Relocate existing trail camp to new land
Input Activity	Improve CSEA Trail signage	sign	4	1,000	4,000				improve directional sign and add interpretive signs
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
A. Total Enhanced Land Management Activities					204,000	20,000	-	-	

To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells

Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
Land Stewardship Proposal Budget and Funding Plan
#REF!

B. Capital Improvements

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Funding Request		Comments
							One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									

To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells

B. Total Capital Improvements

C. Other

Task	Description	Unit of Measure	Number of Units	Cost/Unit	One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	SC Funding Request		Comments
							One-Time Costs	Ongoing Annual Costs	
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									
Input Activity									

To add a row: right click on the row above -> select copy -> right click again ->select insert copied cells

C. Total Other

TOTAL PROJECT EXPENSES

					204,000	20,000	-	-	
--	--	--	--	--	----------------	---------------	---	---	--

NET REVENUE/(EXPENSE) - ENHANCEMENT OF BENEFICIAL PUBLIC VALUES

					(204,000)	(20,000)	-	-	
--	--	--	--	--	------------------	-----------------	---	---	--

Additional Notes:

1. Internal Revenue Service Determination Letter

**Land Stewardship Proposal
for
Lake Britton Planning Unit
California State Parks**



*This response is not applicable to
California State Parks.*

2. Letter from California State Parks Land Acquisition Chief

**Land Stewardship Proposal
for
Lake Britton Planning Unit**

California State Parks





State of California • The Resources Agency

Arnold Schwarzenegger, *Governor*

DEPARTMENT OF PARKS AND RECREATION • P.O. Box 942896 • Sacramento, CA 94296-0001
Acquisition and Real Property Services Division
One Capitol Mall, Suite 410
Sacramento, California 95814

Ruth Coleman, *Director*

July 26, 2010

Pacific Forest and Watershed Lands Stewardship Council
San Mateo Office
15 North Ellsworth Avenue, Suite 100
San Mateo, CA 94401

Subject: Executive Approval of Land Stewardship Proposal Submittal

Dear Stewardship Council,

This letter serves as the required approval of the submittal of the Land Stewardship Proposal for the Lake Britton Planning Unit and the donation of lands in fee from PG&E through the Stewardship Council as additions to the McArthur-Burney Falls Memorial State Park.

Thank you for the opportunity to participate in the Stewardship Council's land conservation process. California State Parks looks forward to a successful submittal and working with the Council, PG&E and the holder of the conservation easement on the donated lands to realize their conservation values.

If you have any questions, please contact me at (916) 327-7302.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink that reads "Ken Anderson".

Ken Anderson
Chief